

750 Old Clemson Road Columbia, SC 29229

Grades 10-12 High School

**Enrollment** 59 Students

PrincipalHenry Lovett803-419-1348SuperintendentKatie Brochu, Ed.D.803-787-1910Board ChairStephanie Burgess, Ph.D.803-530-9899

# 2011 REPORT CARD

## **RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD**

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2011	N/A	N/A
2010	N/A	N/A
2009	N/A	N/A
2008	N/A	N/A
2007	N/A	N/A

#### **DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS**

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS						
Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk						
14 7 10 0 0						

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/09/2011.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students							
	Our	High Sch	nool		Schools ents Like		
Percent	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010	2011	
Passed 2 subtests (%)	N/A	N/A	100.0%	79.1%	81.6%	82.5%	
Passed 1 subtest (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.5%	10.2%	10.4%	
Passed no subtests (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.4%	8.4%	7.6%	

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2011							
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Percent	N/A	93.2%					

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate				
	Our Hig	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours
	2010*	2011	2010	2011
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	N/A	31	N/A	327
Number of Graduates in Cohort	N/A	4	N/A	245
Rate *I leed to calculate current AVP	N/A	12.9%	N/A	71.3%

Five-Year Graduation Rate							
	Our Hig	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours			
	2010	2011	2010	2011			
Number of Students in Cohort	N/A	N/A	N/A	352			
Number of Graduates in Cohort	N/A	N/A	N/A	265			
Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	75.6%			

End of Course Tests						
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*				
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	33.3%	79.0%				
English 1		70.5%				
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	50.0%	73.9%				
Physical Science	62.5%	61.7%				
US History and the Constitution	9.1%	51.6%				
All Tests	33.3%	66.2%				

<sup>\*</sup> High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

# School Profile

School Profile	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n=59)				
Retention rate	0.0%	N/A	N/A	3.4%
Attendance rate	99.9%	N/A	N/A	95.0%
Served by gifted and talented program	0.0%	N/A	N/A	12.4%
With disabilities other than speech	7.1%	N/A	N/A	9.9%
Older than usual for grade	27.1%	N/A	N/A	7.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	N/R	N/A	0.9%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	N/R	N/A	13.0%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	51.7%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	N/R	N/R	N/A	30.1%
Annual dropout rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.5%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.9%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	14	N/A	N/A	419
Students participating in work-based experiences	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.2%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	21.4%	N/A	N/A	83.0%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	N/A	98.4%
Teachers (n=0)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	N/A	N/A	N/A	61.1%
Continuing contract teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	80.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	86.5%
Teacher attendance rate	N/R	N/R	N/A	95.5%
Average teacher salary*	I/S	I/S	N/A	\$46,884
Professional development days/teacher	N/R	N/R	N/A	10.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	0.0	N/R	N/A	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	N/R	N/R	N/A	26.5 to 1
Prime instructional time	N/R	N/R	N/A	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil**	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$7,804
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	N/A	N/A	N/A	58.0%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	N/A	N/A	N/A	60.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	N/R	N/A	Excellent
SACS accreditation	No	N/R	N/A	Yes
Parents attending conferences	0.0%	N/R	N/A	97.3%
Character development program	N/R	N/R	N/A	Good
Modern language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	Good
Classical language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	Good

<sup>\*</sup> Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

# Performance By Student Groups

,		age Rate by g 2011		urse Tests ge Rate	On-time Graduation Rate, 201 For AYP		ate, 2010
	n	%	t	%	n	%	Met AYP Objective
All Students	N/A	N/A	24	33.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gender							
Male	N/A	N/A	12	50.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	N/A	N/A	14	28.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

## Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	0	21	5				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	N/R	90.0%	I/S				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	N/R	90.5%	I/S				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	N/R	90.5%	I/S				

<sup>\*</sup> Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

#### No Child Left Behind

# School Adequate Yearly Progress

No

This school met 0 out of 0 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

### School Improvement Status

N/A

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality Data		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.1%	1.7%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	4.4%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes

HSAP Performance By Group											
TOTAL TONORMAINCE D	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Langua	ge Arts	- State	Perfor	mance	Object	ive = 7	1.3% (F	roficie	nt or Ac	lvanced	d)
All Students	15	6.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	74.2	68.0	I/S	I/S
Male	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	70.2	63.1	N/A	N/A
Female	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	78.2	73.1	N/A	N/A
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	90.4	79.4	I/S	I/S
African American	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	66.8	51.7	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	83.9	83.2	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	63.5	62.8	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	66.4	I/S	I/S
Disabled	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	28.7	22.8	I/S	I/S
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.2	45.1	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	59.9	54.7	I/S	I/S
Mathemati	cs - Sta	ite Perf	ormano	ce Obje	ctive =	70.0%	(Profic	ient or a	Advanc	ed)	
All Students	15	6.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.9	62.3	I/S	I/S
Male	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	64.9	61.4	N/A	N/A
Female	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	68.9	63.2	N/A	N/A
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	88.1	75.3	I/S	I/S
African American	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	56.9	42.9	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90.3	84.3	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	50.5	59.4	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	64.1	I/S	I/S
Disabled	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	22.9	21.5	I/S	I/S
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.2	47.1	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	52.4	48.5	I/S	I/S
Biology <sup>1</sup>	1/Applie	ed Biolo	gy 2 (l	End-of-	Course	Test F	erform	ance by	y Group	0)	
All Students	15	66.7	60.0	20.0	N/A	20.0	20.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

All Students	15	00.7	0.00	20.0	IN/A	20.0	20.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A
Male	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A									
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A									
Disabled	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant	0	N/A									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A									
Subsidized meals	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data											
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 71.3% (Proficient or Advanced)											
All Students	2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
All Students	2011	15	6.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	74.2	68.0	
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 70.0% (Proficient or Advanced)											
All Students	2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
All Students	2011	15	6.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.9	62.3	

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.